M.M.B. v. Bonneville Co.



Mark Fuller P.O. Box 935 Idaho Falls, Idaho 83402

3 Teresa Demchak
David L. Lambert
4 NATIONAL CENTER FOR YOUTH LAW
1663 Mission Street, 5th Floor
5 San Francisco, CA 94103
Telephone: (415) 543-3307

Elizabeth J. Jameson

Mark I. Soler

YOUTH LAW CENTER

1663 Mission Street, 5th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94103

Telephone: (415) 543-3379

10

11

#### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF IDAHO

13

12

M.M.B., a minor, by and through his mother and next friend, E.E.; P.J.W., a minor, by and through his parents and next friends, J. and C.W.; L.M.B., a minor, by and through her father and next friend; F.C.M., a minor, by and through his mother and next friend, G.M., on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,

19

17

Plaintiffs,

20 l

vs.

BONNEVILLE COUNTY, IDAHO;

22

23

21

CLYDE BURTENSHAW, WYLIE SNARR and CLIFFORD LONG in their official capacities as Members of the Board of Commissioners of Bonneville County, Idaho;

2425

RICHARD (DICK) J. ACKERMAN, in his official capacity as Sheriff of Bonneville County, Idaho;

26

27 ///

28 ///

1, led 12/9/86

CIVIL RIGHTS
COMPLAINT FOR
INJUNCTIVE,
DECLARATORY and
OTHER EQUITABLE
RELIEF

C 86 4244

WILLIAM E. ENGLISH, in his official capacity as Administrator of the Bonneville County Jail;

Defendants.

I. INTRODUCTORY STATEMENT

-----

- 1. This is a civil rights class action involving the conditions of confinement and policies and practices of defendants regarding juveniles at the Bonneville County Jail in Idaho Falls, Idaho. Plaintiffs bring this action for declaratory, injunctive, and other equitable relief, on behalf of themselves and all other juveniles similarly situated who are, have been, or will be confined in the Bonneville County Jail, and thereby subjected by defendants to cruel, unconscionable and illegal conditions of confinement in the jail; illegal incarceration in the jail without adequate separation from confined adult offenders; unlawful secure detention in said jail of juveniles who are charged with or who have committed offenses which would not be criminal if committed by adults ("status offenses"); and denial of adequate and appropriate community placements as alternatives to the jail.
- 2. Plaintiffs bring this action under the federal Civil
  Rights Acts, 42 U.S.C. Sections 1983 and 1988, to redress the
  violations by defendants, acting under color of state law, of the
  rights of plaintiffs under the Fourteenth Amendment to United
  States Constitution, specifically the right to due process of

law, right to freedom from cruel and unusual punishments, and right to rehabilitative treatment in the least restrictive conditions. Plaintiffs also bring this action under 42 U.S.C. Sections 1983 and 1988 to redress the violations by defendants, under color of state law, of plaintiffs' statutory rights under the Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act of 1974, as amended, 42 U.S.C. Section 5601 et seq. ["Juvenile Justice Act"], as more particularly set forth hereinafter.

- Justice Act to challenge (a) the detention of plaintiffs by defendants in the Bonneville County Jail, without adequate separation from confined adult offenders; (b) the detention by defendants, in the jail, a secure facility, of plaintiffs who are charged with or who have committed offenses which would not be criminal if committed by adults; (c) the failure and refusal of defendants to provide and utilize adequate and appropriate placements as alternatives to said jail.
- 4. Plaintiffs also bring this action under Article I,
  Sections 1, 2, 6, 13, and 21 of the Constitution of the State of
  Idaho, and the Idaho Code.

## II. JURISDICTION

- 5. This Court has jurisdiction of this action under 28 U.S.C. Section 1343(3), this being an action to redress the deprivation, under color of state law, of rights secured by the Constitution of the United States, the Civil Rights Acts, 42 U.S.C. Sections 1983 and 1988, and the Juvenile Justice Act, 42 U.S.C. Sections 5601 et seq.
  - 6. This Court also has jurisdiction of this action under 28

U.S.C. Section 1343(4), this being an action to secure declaratory, injunctive, and other equitable relief under Acts of Congress providing for the protection of civil rights, specifically the Civil Rights Acts, 42 U.S.C. Sections 1983 and 1988, and the Juvenile Justice Act.

- 7. This Court also has jurisdiction of this action under 28 U.S.C. Sections 2201 and 2202, and Rules 57 and 65 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, this being an action for a declaration of the rights of plaintiffs, and for injunctive and other equitable relief based upon said declaratory judgment, under the Civil Rights Acts, 42 U.S.C. Sections 1983 and 1988, and the Juvenile Justice Act.
- 8. This Court also has jurisdiction of this action under 28 U.S.C. Section 1331(a), this being an action wherein the matter in controversy arises under the Constitution and laws of the United States.
- 9. This Court has jurisdiction of plaintiffs' state law claims under the doctrine of pendent jurisdiction, which permits federal courts to determine state law claims which form separate but parallel grounds for relief also sought in substantial claims based on federal law.

## III. PLAINTIFFS

10. Plaintiff M.M.B. is a juvenile, 12 years of age, and a citizen of the United States. M.M.B. currently resides and at all time during the events described herein, resided in Bonneville County, Idaho, with E.E., his mother. M.M.B. has been incarcerated in the Bonneville County Jail on at least two occasions during 1986. Said plaintiff is subject to the

jurisdiction of the Bonneville County Juvenile Court, and can be returned to confinement in the Bonneville County Jail for violation of the conditions of his probation.

- 11. Plaintiff P.J.W. is a juvenile 17 years of age, and a citizen of the United States. At all times during the events described herein, said plaintiff has resided in Bonneville County, Idaho, with J. and C.W., his parents. P.J.W. has been incarcerated in the Bonneville County Jail on numerous occasions in the past. Said plaintiff is subject to the jurisdiction of the Bonneville County Juvenile Court, and can be returned to confinement in the Bonneville County Jail for violation of the conditions of his probation.
- 12. Plaintiff L.M.B. is a juvenile 16 years of age, and a citizen of the United States. At all times during the events described herein, said plaintiff has resided in Bonneville County, Idaho. L.M.B. was incarcerated in the Bonneville County Jail for 10 days in 1986 for possession of tobacco.
- 13. Plantiff F.C.M. is a juvenile 16 years of age, and a citizen of the United States. At all times during the events described herein, said plaintiff has resided in Bonneville County, Idaho. F.C.M. has been incarcerated in the Bonneville County Jail on numerous occasions in the past. Said plaintiff is subject to the jurisdiction of the Bonneville County Juvenile Court and can be returned to confinement in the Bonneville County Jail for violation of the conditions of his probation.
  - 14. Said plaintiffs and their parents and next friends are

1///

28 1 ///

actual persons who sue under fictitious names because they fear humiliation and embarrassment from friends, neighbors, and the public for their participation in this litigation.

## IV. <u>DEFENDANTS</u>

- 15. Defendant BONNEVILLE COUNTY, IDAHO is a local government unit in the state of Idaho. In its capacity as a local government unit, it has implemented, executed, and adopted the policies, practices, acts and omissions complained of herein through formal adoption or pursuant to governmental custom. The practices, acts and omissions complained of herein are customs and usages of defendant BONNEVILLE COUNTY, IDAHO.
- 16. Defendants CLYDE BURTENSHAW, WYLIE SNARR, CLIFFORD LONG are the County Commissioners of Bonneville County, Idaho. As such, they are responsible under Idaho Code Section 20-612 for appropriating such sums of money as will provide for the maintenance and operation of the Bonneville County Jail. In addition, under Idaho Code Section 20-622, they are responsible for the inspection of the County Jail every three months, and are required to investigate the treatment, security and condition of prisoners at the jail, as well as take all necessary precautions against escape, sickness or infection at the jail. These defendants are sued in their official capacities.
- 18. Defendant, RICHARD (DICK) J. ACKERMAN is the Sheriff of Bonneville County, Idaho. As such, he is responsible under Idaho Code Sections 31-2202(6) and 20-601 for the safety and welfare of all persons confined in the Bonneville County Jail. He is sued in his official capacity.
  - 19. Defendant WILLIAM E. ENGLISH, is the Administrator of the

Bonneville County Jail. As such, he is responsible for the custody and care of all persons incarcerated in the Bonneville County Jail. He is sued in his official capacity.

# V. CLASS ACTION

- 20. Plaintiffs bring this action on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, pursuant to Rule 23(a), (b)(1) and (b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The class consists of all juveniles who are currently, have been or in the future will be confined in the Bonneville County Jail.
- 21. The members of the class are so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable. According to available statistics, there were at least 248 instances in which juveniles were confined in the Bonneville County Jail from January 1 to July 1, 1986. In addition, there are questions of law and fact common to the members of the plaintiff class regarding practices of the defendants, and the claims of the named plaintiffs are typical of the claims of the members of the plaintiff class. The named plaintiffs and plaintiffs' counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the members of the class.
- 22. The prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the class would create a risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications with respect to individual members of the class which would as a practical matter be dispositive of the interests of the other members not parties to the adjudications or substantially impair or impede their ability to protect their interests.
- 23. By their policies, the defendants have acted and continue to act on grounds and in a manner generally applicable to the

class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief with respect to the class as a whole.

24. The injuries suffered by the named plaintiffs and the members of the plaintiff class as a result of the policies and practices of defendants are capable of repetition, yet may evade review, thereby making relief appropriate.

## VI. FACTUAL ALLEGATONS

- A. CONDITIONS AT THE BONNEVILLE COUNTY JAIL
- 25. The Bonnevile County Jail is a secure building located at 605 North Capital, Idaho Falls, Idaho.
- 26. The Bonneville County Jail was designed to hold approximately 97 prisoners. It currently contains 91 beds and is utilized by defendants to confine male and female juveniles, and male and female adults.
- 27. Defendants incarcerate juveniles in three cellblocks in the Bonneville County Jail. The area assigned to male juveniles is comprised of two cellblocks, one containing three single occupancy cells, the other containing two four-bed cells. Female juveniles are detained in a separate unit consisting of two four-bed cells. Outside the sleeping spaces in both the male and female juvenile cellblocks are narrow inmate walkways that are separated from staff corridors by steel bars. At the end of each inmate walkway is a small day room area containing a shower, combination sink and open toilet, and steel bench used for dining. Square footage allocations in both the sleeping cells and day space areas available to juveniles fall well below minimum nationally-recognized, professional standards.

28. The cells in which juveniles sleep are separated from day space areas by steel bars. No privacy is available to juvenile inmates for sleeping, sanitary or other purposes.

- 29. There are no exterior windows in the cellblocks to permit natural lighting or views. None of the sleeping cells have artificial light fixtures in them but rely entirely on borrowed light from the adjacent day room spaces or guard corriders.
- 30. Defendants maintain an outdoor recreation yard and an indoor multipurpose room which is used for indoor exercise in the jail. Both of these facilities must be shared among male and female, juvenile and adult inmates and thus are not available to juveniles most of the time.
- 31. Defendants confine plaintiffs to their small cellblocks at all times except for one hour of recreation per day or when visiting with parents or other permitted visitors in a controlled area. Outside recreation for plaintiffs is provided when weather or staff time permits. During inclement weather plaintiffs are taken to the multipurpose room for recreation.
- 32. Defendants fail to properly supervise plaintiffs in their cellblocks. There is no direct visual access between the central control room in the jail and the juvenile cellblocks. Video monitors in the central control room scan the walkways in the juvenile cellblocks but not the individual cells.
- 33. Defendants fail to properly insure the safety and well-being of plaintiffs. Defendants fail to employ and maintain an adequate number of trained personnel to supervise the well-being of plaintiffs, and to protect the physical safety of plaintiffs. As a result juveniles frequently are verbally intimidated or

physically injured by older or bigger juvenile inmates. For example, plaintiff P.J.W. was put in a cell with three other inmates who turned him upside down, stuck his head in a toilet and told him to blow bubbles. He was also given abrasions on his arms by another juvenile cellmate using an eraser. Juvenile inmates are supervised by jail staff who must also supervise adult inmates. No staff are assigned specifically to juveniles.

^2

- 34. Defendants issue green institutional, pajama-like uniforms to plaintiffs and require them to wear such uniforms during their confinement in the jail.
- 35. Defendants fail to provide plaintiffs with any educational program during plaintiffs' confinement in the jail.
- 36. Defendants fail to maintain complete sight and sound separation of juveniles from adult inmates in the jail. Adult and juvenile female inmates are able to communicate orally with one another while in their respective cellblocks. For example, plaintiff L.M.B. was able to communicate freely through cellblock walls with adult female inmates during her confinement in the jail. Juveniles also come in contact with adult inmates in the booking area and when they are taken out of their cells for recreation or to receive visitors.
- 37. As a result of defendants' polices and practices, plaintiffs' confinement in the Bonneville County Jail is cruel, harsh, punitive and oppressive.

#### B. NOTICE TO DEFENDANTS

38. In April 1983, defendant ENGLISH on behalf of defendants BURTENSHAW, SNARR, LONG and ACKERMAN requested that Kimme Planning and Architecture, a consultant firm from Illnois,

inspect and evaluate the Bonneville County Jail. Among its findings, Kimme Planning and Architecture noted that:

- a. the space allocations for both juvenile and adult inmates were far below minimum professionally-accepted requirements;.
- b. other physical conditions of cellblocks, such as lighting and day space areas also fell substantially below national standards:
- c. indoor and outdoor recreation facilities were inadequate;
- d. there was "a serious acoustic problem between the female juvenile and female adult cellblocks" permitting adult and juvenile female inmates to "communicate freely" with one another in the jail;.
- e. contrary to federal statutory and case law, juvenile status offenders were confined to the jail and housed with juveniles adjudicted or charged with having committed conduct that would be a misdemeanor or felony if the child were an adult.

Among the recommendations made by Kimme Planning and Architecture to defendants was that juveniles should be fully separated from adults, i.e., in a separate facility.

39. Subsequently, in April 1985, at the request of defendants BURTENSHAW, SNARR, LONG, ACKERMAN and ENGLISH, Community Research Center, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, under contract from the U.S. Department of Justice submitted to defendants a report on "Juvenile Detention Planning" in Bonneville County. The report found, inter alia, that: jail "staffing" for juveniles was "seriously inadequate"; jail

"environmental conditions" for juveniles were "seriously inadequate"; staff "supervision" of juveniles was "inadequate" and that there was a "lack of total separation between juveniles and adults." The report also found that "[a]t the Bonneville County Jail, many juveniles detained are not criminal offenders, many are not awaiting an initial court appearance, many are detained more than the 24 hours permitted pursuant to initial court hearings, and none are guaranted total separation during their stay." The report concluded that:

The practice of detaining juveniles at the Bonneville County Jail, as it now occurs, presents serious problems in terms of compliance with federal regulations. Equally serious problems exist with regard to liability . . . on due process and conditions of confinement . . . Finally, existing practices do not accord with those espoused by advanced standards of practice.

- 40. In June 1985, defendant ENGLISH directed a request in writing to defendants BURTENSHAW, SNARR and LONG, that effective July 1, 1985, the policy of the Bonneville County Jail be that no juvenile be admitted except for those charged as adults under Idaho Code 16-1806A. Defendant ENGLISH's request was not granted and it continues to be the policy of the Bonneville County Jail to accept juveniles.
- 41. In late 1985, defendants were advised by their own retained counsel that Bonneville County's practice of jailing juvenile offenders for "subsequent status offenses, discretionary time, and out-of-state runaways is patently unlawful."

  Defendants were also advised that the "county cannot meet the federal requirements of education, counseling, trained staff and

physical facilities for any juvenile incarcerated in the Bonneville County Jail." It was recommended that "immediate action be taken to eliminate juveniles [from] the jail."

#### C. NAMED PLAINTIFFS' ALLEGATIONS

- 41. The named plaintiffs are now or have been in 1986, confined in the Bonneville County Jail and subjected to the circumstances and conditions complained of herein.
  - D. SECURE DETENTION OF STATUS OFFENDERS
- 43. Since 1979, the State of Idaho has received funds totalling more than \$1,026,000.00 from the Federal Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, an agency of the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration, which is part of the United States Department of Justice. Since 1974, additional comparable sums have been received for juvenile justice programs under the auspices of the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration. These funds have been granted to the State for implementation of the Juvenile Justice Act.
- 44. Section 223(12) of the Juvenile Justice Act, 42 U.S.C. Section 5633(12), provides that, in order to receive funding under the Act, a State must comply with the requirement that juveniles who are charged with or who have committed offenses which would not be criminal if committed by an adult ("status offenses"), or offenses which do not constitute violations of valid court orders, and such non-offenders as dependent or neglected children, shall not be placed in secure juvenile detention or correctional facilities. Instead, a State must require that such juveniles, if placed in facilities at all, are placed in facilities which are the least restrictive alternatives

appropriate to the needs of the child and the community, are in reasonable proximity to the family and the home community, and provide "community-based" services, as defined in 42 U.S.C. Section 5603 (1).

Δ

- 45. The defendants regularly confine and detain in the Bonneville County Jail juveniles who are charged with or who have committed offenses which would not be criminal if committed by an adult, where such offenses do not constitute violations of valid court orders. For example, plaintiff L.M.B. was sentenced to ten days in the Bonneville County Jail in 1986 for possession of tobacco. The Kimme Report found tht status offenders typically account for 20% of the total juvenile population confined in the Bonneville County Jail. Status offenders have been similarly confined in the Bonneville County Jail in previous years, and will continue to be so confined in the future unless plaintiffs are granted the relief requested herein.
  - E. DETENTION OF JUVENILES IN JAIL WITHOUT ADEQUATE SEPARATION FROM ADULT OFFENDERS.
- 46. Section 223(13) of the Juvenile Justice Act, 42 U.S.C. Section 5633(13), provides that, in order to receive funding under the Act, a State must comply with the requirement that juveniles alleged to be or found to be delinquent shall not be detained or confined in any institution in which they have regular contact with adult persons incarcerated because they have been convicted of a crime or are awaiting trial on criminal charges.
- 47. The defendants regularly confine and detain juveniles alleged to be or found to be delinquents, as well as juveniles alleged to be or found to be status offenders, in the Bonneville

County Jail, where such juveniles have regular contact with adult persons incarcerated because they have been convicted of a crime or are awaiting trial on criminal charges. Juveniles have been similarly confined and detained in the Bonneville County Jail in previous years, and will continue to be so confined and detained in the future unless plaintiffs are granted the relief requested.

- 48. Idaho Code Sections 20-602 and 20-603 provide that each jail must provide separate and distinct housing for four categories of inmates: 1) persons committed on criminal process and detained for trial; 2) persons convicted of crime and held under sentence; 3) persons detained as witnesses or held under civil process, or under an order imposing punishment for contempt, and 4) males and females.
- 49. The defendants regularly confine and detain juveniles at the Bonneville County Jail in violation of the requirement of Idaho Code Section 20-602, in that juveniles are not segregated on the basis of their commitment status during their incarceration at the Jail, so that juveniles awaiting trial are incarcerated with juveniles serving sentences.
- 50. Idaho Code Section 16-1812A states that detention facilities must be constructed and maintained so as to keep children segregated from adult offenders, or those being treated as adult offenders, such that there be no sight and/or sound contact between the two classes.
- 51. The defendants regularly confine and detain juveniles at the Bonneville County Jail in violation of the requirement of Idaho Code Section 16-1812A. Juveniles have been similarly confined and detained at the Bonneville County Jailin the past,

and will continue to be so confined and detained in the future unless plaintiffs are granted the relief requested.

1

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- F. FAILURE AND REFUSAL TO PROVIDE AND UTILIZE APPROPRIATE COMMUNITY-BASED ALTERNATIVES TO PLACEMENT OF JUVENILES IN THE BONNEVILLE COUNTY JAIL
- Section 223(12) of the Juvenile Justice Act, 42 U.S.C. 52. Section 5633(12), requires states receiving funding under the Act to provide non-secure placements for status offenders and nonoffenders as alternatives to placement in jails and other secure Such non-secure placements must be the least facilities. restrictive alternative appropriate to the needs of the children and the community, must be in reasonable proximity to the children's families and home communities, and must provide "community-based" services. Section 103(1) of the Juvenile Justice Act, 42 U.S.C. Section 5603(1), defines a "community based" facility, program or service as "a small, open group home or other suitable place located near the juvenile's home or family and programs of community supervision and service which maintain community and consumer participation in the planning, operation, and evaluation of their programs which may include, but are not limited to, medical, educational, vocational, social and psychological quidance, training, counseling, alcoholism treatment, drug treatment, and other rehabilitative services."
- 53. The defendants fail and refuse to provide and utilize and appropriate detention, home or other community-based alternatives to placement of juveniles in the Bonneville County Jail.
  - G. CRUEL, UNCONSCIONABLE AND ILLEGAL CONDITIONS OF CONFINEMENT
  - Defendants regularly subject plaintiffs to the cruel,

unconscionable and illegal conditions of confinement described above. Defendants have similarly confined and detained juveniles in the Bonneville County Jail under such conditions in previous years, and defendants will continue to confine juveniles under such conditions in the future unless plaintiffs are granted the relief requested.

#### H. KNOWLEDGE AND INTENT OF DEFENDANTS

- 55. Defendant BONNEVILLE COUNTY, IDAHO, is a local government unit in the State of Idaho. In this capacity, it has implemented, executed, and adopted the policies, practices, acts and omissions complained of herein through formal adoption or pursuant to governmental custom. The practices, acts and omissions complained of herein are customs and usages of defendant BONNEVILLE COUNTY, IDAHO.
- 56. Defendants BURTENSHAW, SNARR and LONG, as the County Commissioners of Bonneville County, are responsible under Idaho Code Section 20-612 for appropriating such sums of money as will provide for the maintenance and operation of the Bonneville County Jail. As such, they know or should know of the conditions and circumstances alleged herein and should have taken steps to correct said conditions and circumstances. Having failed to do so, said defendants are in violation of the federal laws and Idaho statutory sections listed above.
- 57. Defendant RICHARD (DICK) J. ACKERMAN is the Sheriff of Bonneville County. As such, he is responsible under Idaho Code Sections 31-2202(6) and 20-601 for the safety and welfare of all persons confined in the Bonneville County Jail. Defendant ACKERMAN knows or should know of the conditions and circumstances

 alleged herein and should have taken steps to correct them.

Having failed to do so, he is in violation of the federal laws
and Idaho statutory sections listed above.

58. Defendant ENGLISH is Administrator of the Bonneville County Jail and is charged with the care and custody of inmates incarcerated at the facility. As such, he knows or should know of the conditions and circumstances alleged herein and should have taken steps to correct them. Having failed to do so, he is in violation of the federal laws and Idaho statory sections listed above.

## VII. LEGAL CLAIMS

59. For plaintiffs' claims, each enumerated below, they reallege Paragraphs 1 through 58 above, as if fully set forth herein, in each and every statement of claim, and further allege:

## FIRST CLAIM

60. Defendants' policies, practices, acts and omissions complained of herein, and specifically defendants' subjection of plaintiffs to the cruel, unconscionable and illegal conditions of confinement in the Bonneville County Jail, subject plaintiffs to denial of due process of law, guaranteed by the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution and the Idaho Constitution; subject plaintiffs to cruel and unusual punishments, in violation of the Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and the Idaho Constitution; violate plaintiffs' rights to freedom of association guaranteed by the First and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and the Idaho Constitution; violate plaintiffs' right to privacy, guaranteed by the First, Fourth, Fifth, Ninth,

and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and the Idaho Constitution; and violate plaintiffs' right to receive treatment in the least restrictive setting and under the least restrictive conditions, guaranteed by the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution, and the Idaho Constitution and Idaho statutes; violate plaintiffs' rights under 42 U.S.C. 1983; and violate plaintiffs' rights under the Idaho statutory sections cited herein.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

## SECOND CLAIM

Defendants' policies, practices, acts and omissions complained of herein, and specifically defendants' secure confinement and detention in Bonneville County Jail of status offenders and other juveniles presenting no danger to themselves or others, violate plaintiffs' rights under the Juvenile Justice Act, 42 U.S.C. Section 5633(12); subject plaintiffs to denial of due process of law, guaranteed by the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution and the Idaho Constitution; subject plaintiffs to cruel and unusual punishments, in violation of the Eighth and Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution and the Idaho Constitution; violate plaintiffs' rights to freedom of association guaranteed by the First and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and the Idaho Constitution; violate plaintiffs' right to privacy, guaranteed by the First, Fourth, Fifth, Ninth, and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and the Idaho Constitution; violate plaintiffs' right to receive treatment in the least restrictive setting and under the least restrictive conditions, guaranteed by the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution and

the Idaho Constitution and Idaho statutes; and violate plaintiffs' rights under the Idaho statutory sections cited herein.

## THIRD CLAIM

Defendants' policies, practices, acts and omissions 62. complained of herein, and specifically defendants' detention and confinement of juveniles in the Bonneville County Jail without adequate separation from adult offenders, violate plaintiffs' rights under the Juvenile Justice Act, 42 U.S.C. Section 5633(13); subject plaintiffs to denial of due process of law, quaranteed by the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution and the Idaho Constitution; subject plaintiffs to cruel and unusual punishments, in violation of the Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United Stats Constitution and the Idaho Constitution; violate plaintiffs' right to freedom of association guaranteed by the First and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and the Idaho Constitution; violate plaintiffs' right of privacy, guaranteed by the First, Fourth, Fifth, Ninth, and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and the Idaho Constitution: violate plaintiffs' right to receive treatment in the least restrictive setting and under the least restrictive conditions, guaranteed by the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution and the Idaho Constitution and Idaho statutes; violate plaintiffs' rights under 42 U.S.C. Section 1938; and violate plaintiffs' rights under the Idaho statutory sections cited herein.

#### FOURTH CLAIM

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Defendants' policies, practices, acts and omissions complained of herein, and specifically defendants' failure and refusal to provide and utilize a detention home or other appropriate community-based alternatives to placement of juveniles in the Bonneville County Jail, violate plaintiffs' rights under the Juvenile Justice Act, 42 U.S.C. Sections 5633(12), 1603(1); subject plaintiffs to denial of due process of law, guaranteed by the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution and the Idaho Constitution; subject plaintiffs to cruel and unusual punishments, in violation of the Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and the Idaho Constitution; violate plaintiffs' rights to freedom of association guaranteed by the First and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and the Idaho Constitution; violate plaintiffs' right to privacy, quaranteed by the First, Fourth, Fifth, Ninth, and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and the Idaho Constitution; violate plaintiffs' right to receive treatment in the least restrictive setting and under the least restrictive conditions, guaranteed by the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution, and the Idaho Constitution, and Idaho statutes; violate plaintiffs rights under 42 U.S.C. 1983; and violate plaintiffs' rights under

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

## NO ADEQUATE REMEDY AT LAW

the Idaho statutory sections cited herein. ed herein.

64. As a proximate result of the defendants' policies, practices, acts and omissions complained of herein, and the conditions and circumstances described above to which plaintiffs are subjected, plaintiffs have suffered, do suffer, and will

*7* 

continue to suffer immediate and irreparable injury. Plaintiffs have no plain, adequate, or complete remedy at law to redress the wrongs described herein. Plaintiffs will continue to be irreparably injured by the policies, practices, acts and omissions of the defendants unless this Court grants the injunctive relief which plaintiffs seek.

# PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, plaintiffs pray that this Court:

- A. Assume jurisdiction of this action;
- B. Issue an order certifying this action to proceed as a class action pursuant to Rule 23(a), (b)(1) and (b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- C. Issue a declaratory judgment pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Sections 2201 and 2202, and Rule 57 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, that the policies, practices, acts and omissions complained of herein:
- (1) violate plaintiffs' rights under the Juvenile Justice Act, 42 U.S.C. Section 5601 et seq.;
- (2) subject plaintiffs to denial of due process of law, guaranteed by the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution and the Idaho Constitution;
- (4) violate plaintiffs' rights to freedom of association guaranteed by the First and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and the Idaho Constitution;
- (5) violate plaintiffs' right to privacy, guaranteed by the First, Fourth, Fifth, Ninth, and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and the Idaho Constitution;

22 |

 (6) violate plaintiffs' right to receive treatment in the least restrictive setting and under the least restrictive conditions, guaranteed by the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution, the Idaho Constitution, and Idaho statutes;

- (7) violate plaintiffs' rights under 42 U.S.C. Section 1983:
- (8) violate plaintiffs' statutory rights under the Idaho Code, as identified above.
- D. Issue preliminary and permanent injunctions sufficient to rectify the unconstitutional acts and omissions and statutory violations alleged herein, as follows:
- (1) Restraining and prohibiting all defendants from failing to provide plaintiffs with any of the following during their periods of confinement:
- (a) regular changes of clean non-institutional-like clothing or means and facilities for laundering plaintiffs' own clothing.
- (b) regular and adequate opportunities for exercise and recreation;
- (c) adequate numbers of personnel trained in identifying and responding to problems of juveniles;
- (d) an educational program with competent and trained staff for juveniles of school age;
- (e) a sufficient number of trained recreational personnel to provide wholesome and profitable leisure-time activities;
- (f) a homelike, non-punitive, neutral atmosphere and environment.

(2) Restraining and prohibiting all defendants from confining or detaining in the Bonneville County Jail or in any other secure facility any juvenile who is charged with or who has committed an offense which would not be criminal if committed by an adult, where such offense does not constitute a violation of a valid court order.

- (3) Restraining and prohibiting all defendants from confining and detaining any juvenile in the Bonneville County Jail.
- (4) Restraining and prohibiting all defendants from failing to provide and utilize appropriate community-based alternatives to placement of juveniles in the Bonneville County Jail.
- E. Issue preliminary and permanent injunctions restraining and prohibiting all defendants from transferring any plaintiff to any other jail or any other facility where there exist the conditions complained of herein.
- F. Order the defendants to develop and implement a comprehensive plan for the correction of the unlawful policies, practices, acts and omissions complained of herein, and to submit said plan to the court and to the attorneys for plaintiffs for review and approval.
- G. Retain jurisdiction over defendants and each of them until such time as the Court is satisfied that their unlawful policies, practics, acts and omissions complained of herein no longer exist and will not recur.
- H. Award plaintiffs the cost of this proceeding, attorneys' fees under 42 U.S.C. Secion 1988, and such other and further

1	relief	as	to	this	Court	seems	just and proper.
2							
3	DATED:	_				, 1986	
4	ŗ						
5							
6							Mark Fuller P.O. Box 935
7							Idaho Falls, Idaho 83402
8							Teresa Demchak
9							David L. Lambert NATIONAL CENTER FOR YOUTH LAW
10							1663 Mission Street, 5th Floor San Francisco, CA 94103
11							Telephone: (415) 543-3307
12							Elizabeth J. Jameson Mark I. Soler
13							YOUTH LAW CENTER 1663 Mission Street, 5th Floor
14							San Francisco, CA 94103 Telephone: (415) 543-3379
15							
16							Ву:
17							Counsel for Plaintiffs
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
ļ							
23							
24							
25	[						