Shaw v. San Francisco



JI-CA-010-00

MARK I. SOLER YOUTH LAW CENTER 1663 Mission Street Fifth Floor 3 San Francisco, California 94103 Telephone: (415) 543-3378 BRUCE W. LAIDLAW 5 MATTHEW J. GEYER L. JOANNE SAKAI LANDELS, RIPLEY & DIAMOND 450 Pacific Avenue 7 | San Francisco, California 94133 Telephone: (415) 788-5000 8 Attorneys for Plaintiffs,

ENDORSED FILED Sen Francisco County Superior Court

FEB 8 1990

DONALD W. DICKINSON, Clerk
BY: MARIA JUSTINIANO

Deputy Clerk

COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Case No.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA

915763

RONAYNE SHAW and MICHAEL SHAW, residents and taxpayers of the City and Gounty of San Francisco,

RONAYNE SHAW and MICHAEL SHAW

Plaintiffs,

v.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, a local governmental entity; FRED JORDAN, Chief Juvenile)
Probation Officer; STEVEN

LA PLANTE, Director of Juvenile Hall; SAN FRANCISCO JUVENILE PROBATION COMMISSION, a local governmental agency; SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, a local public school district; and DOES 1 through 20,

Defendants.

COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY
AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF FOR
VIOLATION OF CIVIL RIGHTS
AND WASTE OF PUBLIC FUNDS

Plaintiffs, RONAYNE SHAW and MICHAEL SHAW, complain and allege as follows:

andels, Ripley & Diamond San Francisco

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

\$

1. This is a civil rights action challenging various illegal policies, practices and conditions at the San Francisco Juvenile Hall (also known as the Youth Guidance Center), and seeking to stop them because they are illegal, unnecessary, injurious and degrading to the troubled children confined there.

#### PARTIES

- 2. Plaintiffs RONAYNE SHAW and MICHAEL SHAW are married citizens of California and the United States, and residents of the City and County of San Francisco. Mr. and Mrs. Shaw are assessed and liable to pay, and within one year prior to the commencement of this lawsuit have paid, taxes to the City and County of San Francisco and to the State of California. The Shaws work in San Francisco and are active in their community. Both are members of the Black Leadership Forum and participate in activities at the Buchanan Street YMCA. Mr. and Mrs. Shaw are concerned about conditions at the Juvenile Hall partly because one of their children was recently confined there. The Shaws bring this action pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure 526a to enjoin the illegal and wasteful policies and practices of defendants.
- 3. Defendant CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA, is a local governmental entity, duly authorized and formed under the laws of the State of California. Pursuant, inter alia, to Welfare and Institutions Code § 850, its authority and duty

				Ŋ
				I
	 ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	···	

- include operating the Juvenile Hall for confinement of children in the custody or control of the county.
- 4. Defendant FRED JORDAN is the Chief Juvenile Probation Officer of San Francisco County, duly appointed and authorized under the laws of the State of California. Pursuant to Welfare and Institutions Code § 852, he is responsible for the supervision and operation of the Juvenile Hall on behalf of the county, and the direction of the staff working in the facility. He is the legal custodian of all children confined in the facility. Said defendant is sued in his official capacity only.
- 5. Defendant STEPHEN LA PLANTE is the Director of the Juvenile Hall, having been duly appointed and authorized under the laws of the State of California. Pursuant to Welfare and Institutions Code § 853, and as an agent of the Chief Probation Officer and an employee of the County, his authority and duties include directly supervising the Juvenile Hall and directing its staff. Said defendant is sued in his official capacity only.
- 6. Defendant SAN FRANCISCO JUVENILE PROBATION COMMISSION is a local governmental agency, duly organized under the laws of the City and County of San Francisco, which has the authority to supervise and oversee the Department of Juvenile Probation, which includes the Chief Juvenile Probation Officer.
- 7. Defendant SAN FRANCISCO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT is the local governmental agency charged with administering the public schools in San Francisco, and providing the educational services in Juvenile Hall.

		<i>⊒</i>
•		
•		
		•
		,
	The state of the s	

- 8. Plaintiffs are ignorant of the true names and capacities
- of defendants sued herein as DOES 1 through 20, inclusive, and
- 3 therefore sue these defendants by such fictitious names.
- 4 Plaintiffs will amend this complaint to allege their true names
- 5 and capacities when ascertained.
- 9. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and on that basis
- 7 allege that each DOE defendant was at all relevant times the
- 8 agent, employee, or representative of each other defendant, and,
- 9 in doing all of the acts alleged herein, acted within the scope of
- 10 such agency, employment, or representation. Allegations
- 11 hereinafter against "defendants" refer to all specifically named
- 12 and fictitiously named defendants.

14

. • •

## FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

15

16 10. Defendants use tax revenues collected from plaintiffs

17 and others by the City and County of San Francisco and the State

of California to administer, operate and maintain the Juvenile

Hall, which is located at 375 Woodside in the City and County of

San Francisco.

21

22

18

19

20

The Juvenile Hall

23

25

26

11. In the Juvenile Hall, defendants detain Children who

have been charged with or adjudged guilty of delinquent acts.

children range in age from 10 to 18 years, approximately 10 %

being between 10 and 13 years of age. There are seven living

andels. Ripley & Diamond San Francisco

		•
•		

- units, each of which generally consists of a common area dayroom,

  a staff station, approximately 20 individual rooms for housing one

  or two youths, and a toilet and showering area.
  - 12. Units B-1 through B-4 house boys awaiting adjudication of their criminal cases, boys awaiting placement in a community facility, boys waiting for a responsible adult to take custody of them, and boys sentenced to serve time in Juvenile Hall. Unit B-1 has 19 individual rooms and Units B-2 through B-4 have 20 rooms.
  - 13. Generally, younger and smaller boys are housed in Units B-1 and B-2, and older and larger boys are housed in Units B-3 and B-4.
  - 14. Unit B-5 houses primarily boys who are being tried for major felonies as adults, and boys who are unable to adjust to living in the other units. It also houses, from time to time, boys who live in the other units but are temporarily on discipline. This unit has 25 isolation or solitary confinement-type rooms, each of which measures approximately eight feet by ten feet and includes a bed, toilet and washbasin.
  - 15. Girls are detained in Unit G-2, which has 20 rooms.

    The Reception Unit is a 14-room coed unit designed to be the intake center, which also serves as an overflow unit when the population in other units rises. Finally, a new unit called W-3 houses "honor" youth, who are awaiting placement in the community.

# Long History of Reported Deficiencies in the Juvenile Hall

- of operation at least 19 reports, studies and investigations of it have been conducted, all of which have repeatedly documented inadequacies in the physical plant, provision of services and treatment of detained children.
- 17. Shortly after the Juvenile Hall opened its doors in 1951, the California Youth Authority and the National Probation and Parole Association investigated overcrowding at the facility. In 1957, the grand jury requested another review on overcrowding. In 1968, the Juvenile Justice Commission authorized another study of Juvenile Hall by a citizens committee appointed by the San Francisco Council of the Bay Area Social Planning Council. The committee made 67 specific recommendations for improvements in the Police Juvenile Bureau, Department of Social Services, and in probation, detention, educational and health services at Juvenile Hall.
- 18. More recently, eight separate reports have detailed inadequacies in the facilities and treatment at Juvenile Hall. In 1982, for example, both the Juvenile Justice Commission and a civil grand jury issued reports criticizing the facility for inadequate staff training and low staff morale. The Juvenile Justice Commission also criticized the school program and medical and psychiatric services. In 1983, Allen Breed, the former director of the California Youth Authority, and Robert Smith issued another report critical of the Juvenile Hall. Breed and

			•
			i

Smith also found that staff training was inadequate and that the psychiatric, medical and educational services were seriously deficient.

- 19. In 1984, after a child committed suicide in the Juvenile Hall, the Mayor appointed a Juvenile Hall Committee to report on conditions at the facility. The Committee made 36 recommendations, which included, among other things:
- (1) establishing adequate training for staff; (2) seeking alternative facilities for seriously emotionally disturbed children; (3) establishing consistent outdoor recreation; (4) establishing grievance policies; and (5) developing community alternatives to detention.
- Jefferson Associates to do a needs assessment of the Juvenile Hall. The study found many of the same deficiencies in the facility's plant and program, including inadequate heat, light, plumbing and ventilation; inadequate staff training; and deficient medical, psychiatric and educational services.

# Current Deficiencies In Juvenile Hall

## The Facility

21. Physically, the Juvenile Hall is antiquated and in need of substantial repair and increased maintenance. The walls in the living quarters are filthy. Many rooms are extremely cold, while the floors in others are uncomfortably hot. The plumbing periodically backs up, spilling foul water or even raw sewage

andels. Ripley & Diamond
San Francisco

					•
					•
					,
					į
	 -	·	<del>-</del>		

over the floors of the living quarters, which the children are not allowed to leave. Many of the living quarters, particularly the solitary confinement rooms in Unit B-5, are routinely foul smelling. The stench of living in close quarters with inadequate plumbing persists because of inadequate ventilation.

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

## Fire Danger

22. The facility and defendants' operation of it fail to ensure the adequate safety of resident children in the event of a fire. There is no central notification panel to detect fires or to notify the City Fire Department, as required by the Uniform Fire Code. There are no periodic fire drills. In the event of a fire, all children presumably would have to exit through the common areas, resulting in a dangerously crowded situation. The lack of adequate means of egress in the event of a fire, and the defendants' failure to mark exits with illuminated signs, also violate the Uniform Fire Code.

18

19

20

## Failure to Provide Clean Clothes

21

22

properly maintained and is ill-suited for the facility's needs, defendants do not provide children clean underwear daily, nor do

As a result of dilapidated laundry equipment that is not

23

they provide children a clean change of outer clothing twice

24

weekly. The children are also required to wear the same clothing

25

before, during, and after daily indoor exercise periods in the gymnasium.

	· · · ·		<i>'</i>

24. As a result, several cases of lice and similar infestations have been reported in the recent past in children who did not have these conditions when they entered the facility.

#### Food

inadequate. Meals are often unhealthful, unappetizing and small (especially for growing adolescents). No snacks of any kind are provided between dinner at approximately 5:00 p.m. and breakfast at approximately 7:00 a.m. the next morning. As a result, the children go hungry at night unless visitors bring them food.

Often, even this food brought by family members is denied them or eaten by staff.

# Educational Services

- 26. The Court Schools Division of the San Francisco Unified School District operates an educational program in the units at Juvenile Hall on school days.
- 27. Defendants fail to provide adequate general or special educational services for the children. The current educational program fails to adequately assess children upon entrance to determine if they have special educational needs, to place them in appropriate educational programs, or to provide them with a comprehensive education program equivalent to that available to children outside Juvenile Hall. Children in the reception unit

andels. Ripley & Diamond San Francisco

	 e man	

and children on discipline often are not provided any educational services.

28. Additionally, the current educational program fails to provide special education services, including full evaluations, individualized education programs, and related special education services for children.

# Outdoor Recreation

29. Defendants do not allow children outdoors for recreation on a daily basis. Children in most or all units are allowed outdoors for recreation only once each week, for at most a one-hour period. Thus, the children do not regularly have access to fresh air and sunshine, which they so desperately need because of the inadequate ventilation in the facility.

30. Defendants deny children on discipline any outdoor

recreation.

Other Programs and Activities

educational and outdoor recreation programs by failing to provide other significant programming or activities. Indoor recreation in the common area dayroom in each unit generally consists of

31. Defendants exacerbate the problem of inadequate

watching television. Although some books and box-games are sometimes available, children are rarely encouraged to make any

use of them. Moreover, the only organized activities for the children are provided, not by the institution, but by a private

andels. Ripley & Diamond San Francisco

			:
•	***************************************		

- boys club the Omega Boys' Club, which comes to one of the units once each week and by an outside religious organization.
  - 32. As a result of defendants' failure to organize any programming, the children spend their days in the only activity encouraged by the staff loitering in the dayroom and watching television.

# Disciplinary Policies

- 33. Defendants do not have a disciplinary policy that includes a clear set of prohibited behaviors and due process protections governing the imposition of discipline. Defendants change the disciplinary policies and procedures frequently, often without advance notice. For example, in the last year there have been four different sets of disciplinary policies. As a result, staff and children do not know, and cannot know, the conduct for which children can or will be disciplined or the nature of the appropriate discipline.
- 34. Defendants routinely impose discipline on children in the Juvenile Hall arbitrarily, without adequate due process, and without just cause. Prior to imposing discipline on children, defendants fail to provide them with even minimal due process protections. Children do not receive written notice of the allegations against them. Children do not have an opportunity to present their version of the events at issue. Disciplinary decisions are not made by an impartial fact finder. Finally, there is no process for appealing a disciplinary process.

		I

- Instead, members of the Juvenile Hall staff who are personally involved in the children's alleged infractions decide whether discipline should be imposed on the children.
  - 35. As a result of defendants' failure to provide adequate due process, children have been confined in their rooms for as many as seven days without notice or hearing. Many times children must serve their disciplinary time before a supervising counselor determines if the discipline was validly imposed.
  - 36. Defendants confine children on discipline to their individual rooms, often even requiring them to take their meals in their rooms. Their time out of their individual rooms is limited to two 30-minute indoor recreation periods in the common area dayroom. Children on discipline are often deprived of one or both of these periods.
  - 37. Defendants' policy and professional standards require a formal review of the appropriateness of isolation every 24 hours. However, many children in Juvenile Hall, and particularly those in Unit B-5, are forced to remain in their rooms for up to five days, without adequate review of the need for continued confinement.

Use Of Body Slamming And Other Forms Of Unnecessary Force

38. Juvenile Hall staff counselors use "body slamming" as a means of behavior control. "Body slamming" consists of grabbing a child and wrapping that child's arms around his body and throwing him against the floor, a door, or a wall. The use of this technique is unnecessary — the children can be moved, even

		•
		•
		•
•	 4 mm a	

forcibly, without deliberately throwing them against walls, for example, and the safety of counselors is not advanced by this technique. The sole reason for the use of the technique is punitive, and it thus constitutes corporal punishment.

39. Juvenile Hall staff counselors also abuse restraints — handcuffs and leg-shackles, for example — using them unnecessarily and excessively, not merely to forcibly move or restrain a child, but to punish him or her, when any danger to the counselor or others is not present or has abated. At least one child has recently been handcuffed to his bed. This abuse of restraints often results in physical injury to the children.

40. This physical abuse occurs because Juvenile Hall staff are inadequately trained in behavior management and crisis intervention techniques, or do not implement the training they receive.

## Inadequate Staffing And Training

41. Defendants employ insufficient numbers of staff at the Juvenile Hall to assure the safety of or provide services to the children. Defendants also fail to adequately train the staff.

42. Frequently, because of insufficient numbers of permanent staff, defendants use temporary staff — who are less experienced and lack any significant training — where permanent staff are needed to assure the safety of children, for example, in Unit B-5. Also, defendants often call psychiatric staff away from the

			· ·	

- clinic as a result of the low staffing levels, and the clinic is
  thus left effectively inoperative.
  - 43. Gymnasium privileges are often affected by low staff levels. Defendants often do not allow children to participate in large muscle exercise because there are insufficient staff to supervise both the activities in the gymnasium and the activities in the units.
  - 44. Because there are no toilets in the individual rooms in Units B-1 through B-4, the children must request staff to allow them out of their rooms to use the unit's toilet. Staff often take long periods of time to respond to these requests. This failure to timely respond to such requests is often intentional and unwarranted by any exigent circumstance, and at other times is the result of the chronic understaffing of the units. As a result, some children have urinated on the floors of their rooms.
  - 45. Also as a result of chronic staff shortages, staff are frequently overstressed and short-tempered. Poor working conditions for staff result in brutality to children, and arbitrary and inappropriate imposition of discipline.

    Additionally, the poor working conditions that result from chronic staff shortages result in high absentee rates among permanent workers, necessitating the use of temporary workers, who, as noted above, have less training than permanent staff, and in some cases no training at all.
  - 46. There are staff at the Juvenile Hall who are talented, experienced and dedicated to helping the children in the facility.

				·
•			* <u>-</u>	

However, as a result of the inadequate numbers and training of other staff, and other conditions and practices described in this complaint, even these staff are unable to adequately care for the children at the Juvenile Hall.

The Forensic Services Division of the Department of

Public Health provides for the medical and mental health needs of

the children in Juvenile Hall. The Forensic Services unit at the

Juvenile Hall is understaffed and therefore unable to provide

adequate counselling for the children. Forensic staff are also

often called to ameliorate tension-filled situations created by

the detention staff's handling and treatment of the children, thus

unnecessarily further overextending the mental health professional

48. Defendants have failed to develop and implement adequate

5

6

## Mental Health Services

8 9

10

11

13

14

15

staff.

Juvenile Hall.

16 17

## Grievance Procedure

19

18

20

21

2223

24

25

26

49. Defendants fail to follow the most basic initial steps essential to an adequate grievance procedure. Staff often will not provide grievance forms to the children. At other times, children are unable to fill in the forms for lack of a pen or pencil, which are not provided. When both a form and a pencil are

procedures by which the children in Juvenile Hall may lodge

grievances against policies, practices, conditions, or staff at

andels. Ripley & Diamond San Francisco

	4

provided, forms are often merely thrown away by staff or for some other reason elicit no response or hearing whatever.

50. When a grievance form actually does result in a hearing by the grievance coordinator, he is powerless to remedy even the most legitimate complaint.

# Visitation

51. Defendants fail to provide children with adequate and varied times for visitation. Defendants limit visits to the hour between 4:30-5:30 p.m., to be cleared through the probation officers. This time is inconvenient for many working parents, and others are not given adequate notice of the scheduled visiting hours. The right to visit at another time can only be obtained from a probation officer. Because parents are not routinely informed how to request alternate visiting times, they are unable to visit. In addition, probation officers arbitrarily refuse to permit parents to visit at alternate times based on their personal feelings about the parent or child.

# The Need for Judicial Intervention

52. The policies, procedures and conditions in the Juvenile Hall that are complained of here are continuous and ongoing, resulting in continued injury to the children and continued waste of public funds. Plaintiffs have no plain, speedy or adequate remedy at law. Unless and until the defendants are enjoined by the Court from continuing their illegal and wasteful actions,

				è
	_			

.

l children will continue to be confined in the Juvenile Hall under the conditions complained of here, and public funds will continue to be wasted, causing plaintiffs to suffer substantial and irreparable harm.

5

3

7

6

# (Violation of State Civil and Constitutional Rights and Waste of Public Funds -Against All Defendants)

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

9

10

11

8

Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by this reference the allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 52, above, as if fully set forth here.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

54. The above-described policies, practices and conditions in the Juvenile Hall violate the rights of children detained in the Juvenile Hall under Article I of the Constitution of the State of California, including Section 1 (right to enjoy life, liberty, and safety), Section 3 (freedom of association), and Section 7 and 15 (right to due process of law). These policies, practices and conditions also violate state statutes and regulations, including but not limited to California Welfare and Institutions Code sections 850 et. seq.; and California Administrative Code, Title 15, Division 4, Chapter 2, Subchapter 3 ("Minimum Standards for

21 22

Juvenile Halls").

**55.** 

23 24

25

26

The confinement of children in the Juvenile Hall

pursuant to these illegal policies, practices, and conditions thus

constitutes a waste of public funds within the meaning of

California Code of Civil Procedure Section 526a.

		•
		r
•		

### SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of Federal Civil and Constitutional Rights and Waste of Public Funds — Against All Defendants)

- 56. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by this reference the allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 52, above, as if fully set forth here.
- 57. The above-described policies, practices and conditions in the Juvenile Hall violate the rights of children detained in the Juvenile Hall under the United States Constitution, including the First Amendment (freedom of speech and association) and the Fourteenth Amendment (right to due process of law).
- 58. The confinement of children in the Juvenile Hall pursuant to these illegal policies, practices, and conditions thus constitutes a waste of public funds within the meaning of California Code of Civil Procedure Section 526a.

6

## THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of Federal Civil and Constitutional Rights and Waste of Public Funds — Against All Defendants)

- 59. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference the allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 52, above, as if fully set forth herein.
- 60. The above-described policies, practices and conditions in the Juvenile Hall violate the rights of children detained in Juvenile Hall under the Education of the Handicapped Act (EHA), 20 U.S.C. \$\$ 1401 et seg. and its implementing regulations at 34

- C.F.R. \$\$ 300 et seg., and the Fourteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution (right to due process of law).
  - 61. The confinement of children in the Juvenile Hall pursuant to these illegal policies, practices, and conditions thus constitutes a waste of public funds within the meaning of California Code of Civil Procedure Section 526a.

.

9

. .

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

2324

25

26

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, plaintiffs pray for relief as follows:

- 1. For a declaration that the policies, practices and conditions described above and made the subject of the injunction prayed for in Paragraph 2 of this Prayer violate the civil rights of the children detained in the Juvenile Hall and are illegal under federal and California constitutions, statutes and regulations;
- 2. For an order enjoining defendants and each of them, and their agents, servants and employees, and all persons acting under, in concert with, or for them, from:
- A. Operating the Juvenile Hall in its antiquated and filthy condition without substantially cleaning, repairing and maintaining the facility;
- B. Operating the Juvenile Hall without bringing the facility into compliance with the Uniform Fire Code, conducting periodic fire drills, or otherwise operating the facility in an unsafe manner relative to the risk of fire;

andels. Ripley
& Diamond
San Francisco

	·	
		٠
		;

- C. Operating the Juvenile Hall without providing the children detained there with clean clothes, including a change of clean underclothing daily, a change of clean outerclothing twice weekly, and a separate set of gymnasium clothing, changed or laundered with reasonable regularity;
  - D. Operating the Juvenile Hall without providing the children detained there with adequate food, including sufficient portions of healthful and nutritious food at the three daily meals, and a nutritious evening snack whenever the time between dinner and breakfast exceeds 12 hours;
  - E. Operating the Juvenile Hall without providing adequate educational services to the children detained there, including assessing and addressing the general and special educational requirements of each child, and providing both general and special educational services equivalent to those provided to children in the community at large;
  - F. Detaining children in the Juvenile Hall without allowing them access to fresh air outdoors for at least one hour each day, weather permitting;
  - G. Operating the Juvenile Hall without providing and encouraging indoor recreational programs or activities other than watching television;
  - H. Imposing discipline on the children detained in Juvenile Hall without just cause or pursuant to policies that are ill-defined, transitory, or lacking in fundamentals of due process, including notice and an opportunity to be heard by an

			\$	

- impartial fact-finder; or depriving youths on discipline of at
- least two 30-minute indoor recreation periods in the common area
- 3 dayroom in their unit; or failing to formally review at least
- daily the appropriateness of any child's isolation;
- I. Subjecting the children detained in the Juvenile

  Hall to body-slamming or other forms of corporal punishment, or

  using restraints except as necessary and only so long as is

  necessary to ensure the physical safety of staff or children;
  - J. Operating the Juvenile Hall without employing adequate numbers of staff, or without adequately training staff both before they begin to discharge their duties and thereafter on-the-job;
  - K. Operating the Juvenile Hall without hiring adequate numbers of mental health professional staff and ensuring that they are available to service the mental health needs of the children;
  - L. Detaining children in the Juvenile Hall, or disciplining them, without developing and implementing adequate grievance procedures; or
  - M. Detaining children in the Juvenile Hall without providing them reasonable visitation rights, including alternative hours for visitation for potential visitors who can not visit during the designated hours without suffering significant hardship.
  - 3. For an order appointing a Special Master to monitor defendants' compliance with the Court's orders;

andels Ripley & Diamond San Francisco

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

}	4. For reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit; and
2	5. For such other and further relief as the Court deems
3	just and proper.
1	
5	Dated: February 8, 1990 MARK I. SOLER
6	YOUTH LAW CENTER
7 '	/lll. 15-
8	MARK I. SOLER
9	
10	BRUCE W. LAIDLAW MATTHEW J. GEYER
11	L. Joanne Sakai Landels, Ripley & Diamond
12	(note ) &
13	Malikew / gaza
14	MATTHEW J GENER
15	Attorneys for Plaintiffs, RONAYNE SHAW and MICHAEL SHAW
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21 j	
22	
23	
24	
25	

dels. Ripley Diamond 1 Francisco

			•	*-	
					1