Hollingsworth v. Orange Co.



MARK I. SOLER SUSAN L. BURRELL YOUTH LAW CENTER 1663 Mission St., 5th Floor San Francisco, CA 94103 (415) 543-3379

RICHARD P. HERMAN 229 Marine Avenue Post Office Box 328 Balboa Island, CA 92662 (714) 673-7670

Δ

7

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

JOHN HAGAR PAUL HOFFMAN 8 REBECCA JURADO ACLU FOUNDATION OF 9 SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA 633 S. Shatto Place 10 Los Angeles, CA 90005 (213) 487-172011

CATHY L. JENSEN 2414 South Fairview Street Suite 103 Santa Ana, CA 92704 (714) 662-1675

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

FILED GARY L GRANVILLE, County Clerk

FILED

JAN 1 9 1990

GARY L. GRANNELE, COUNTY CHERK ---- DEPUTY

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ORANGE

HELENE HOLLINGSWORTH, a taxpayer; MATT X., CLIFF Y., and LETYCIA H.,) formerly children confined in Orange County juvenile institutions; and MARYANNE A. and REBECCA B., children currently confined in Orange County juvenile) institutions, by and through their) quardian ad litem, NANCY PHELPS, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

Case No. 51-08-65

THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

ORANGE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA, a local) government entity; MICHAEL SCHUMACHER in his official capacity as Orange County Chief Probation Officer; EDWARD M. CLARKE, in his official capacity as Chief Deputy Probation Officer for Institutional Services; and DON HALLSTROM, HAROLD COOK, AL LINDEMAN, and RICHARD DUCKWORTH,) in their official capacities as Directors, respectively, of the) Orange County Juvenile Hall, the Los Pinos Forestry Camp, Joplin Youth Center, and the Youth Guidance Center; and DOES 1-50, inclusive,

Defendants.

Delendan

I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

1. This is a civil rights action for declaratory and injunctive relief brought to challenge illegal and wasteful policies, practices, and conditions in the four Orange County juvenile facilities -- Juvenile Hall, Los Pinos Forestry Camp, Joplin Youth Center, and the Youth Guidance Center -- operated by defendants. The policies, practices and conditions complained of herein cause injury and degradation to the troubled and vulnerable children entrusted to defendants' care. This lawsuit is a continuation of the litigation begun in Matt X. v Orange County, Case no. CV 86-5693 (U.S. District Court, Central District of California).

25 ///

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26 ///

II. PARTIES

Δ

- 2. Plaintiff HELENE HOLLINGSWORTH is a citizen of
 California and a resident of Orange County. She is assessed and
 liable to pay, and within one year prior to the commencement of
 this lawsuit has paid, taxes to the County of Orange and to the
 State of California. She is a concerned member of the community,
 and has served as a member of the County Grand Jury and as
 President of the local chapter of the League of Women Voters.
 She brings this action pursuant to California Code of Civil
 Procedure Section 526a to enjoin the illegal and wasteful
 policies and practices of defendants.
- 3. At the time this litigation was originally filed, plaintiffs MATT X., CLIFF Y., and LETYCIA H. were children committed to the care of the County and confined in the juvenile facilities operated by defendants. They were citizens of California and residents of Orange County. At the time this litigation was originally filed, these plaintiffs were personally subjected to the unlawful policies, practices, and conditions described in this Complaint. For example, MATT and CLIFF were placed in soft-tie restraints ("tie-down restraints") in the Juvenile Hall several times, and LETYCIA was placed in a deescalation room ("safety room" or "rubber room"). At the present time, said plaintiffs are 18 years of age and are not confined in juvenile facilities operated by defendants. These plaintiffs bring this lawsuit under pseudonyms in order to preserve the confidentiality of their Juvenile Court and Probation Department

records when they were juveniles and to protect them from embarrassment and harassment. Their true identities are known to the defendants and will be divulged in confidence to the Court.

Plaintiffs MARYANNE A. and REBECCA B. are, at the present time, children confined in juvenile facilities operated by defendants. They are citizens of California and residents of Orange County. These plaintiffs have personally been subjected to the unlawful policies, practices, and conditions described in this Complaint, and will continue to be subjected to those policies, practices, and conditions unless the relief requested herein is granted. For example, MARYANNE was subjected to arbitrary discipline and, as a result of overcrowding, REBECCA was required to sleep on a mattress on the floor of a room in the Juvenile Hall for more than a month. These plaintiffs bring this lawsuit under pseudonyms to preserve their confidentiality and protect them from embarrassment and harassment. These plaintiffs will, in confidence, make their true identities known to the defendants and the Court.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 4. Defendant ORANGE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA, is a local government entity, duly authorized and formed under the laws of the State of California. Its authority and duty include operation of the four juvenile facilities (Juvenile Hall, Los Pinos Forestry Camp, Joplin Youth Center, and the Youth Guidance Center) operated by the defendants for the confinement of children in the custody or control of the county.
 - 5. Defendant MICHAEL SCHUMACHER is the Chief Probation

Officer of Orange County, duly appointed and authorized under the laws of the State of California. He is responsible for all activities of the Orange County Probation Department, including operation and maintenance of the four county juvenile facilities. He is the legal custodian of all children confined in the four juvenile facilities. Said defendant is sued in his official capacity.

- 5a. Defendant EDWARD M. CLARKE is the Chief Deputy
 Probation Officer for Institutional Services, duly appointed and
 authorized under the laws of the State of California. His
 authority and duty include supervision of the institutions
 operated by the Orange County Probation Department, including the
 four juvenile facilities. Said defendant is sued in his official
 capacity.
- 6. Defendants DON HALLSTROM, HAROLD COOK, AL LINDEMAN, and RICHARD DUCKWORTH are, respectively, the Superintendents of the Juvenile Hall, the Los Pinos Forestry Camp, Joplin Youth Center, and the Youth Guidance Center, having been duly appointed and authorized under the laws of the State of California. Their authority and duty include direct operation and maintenance of their respective juvenile facilities, and direction of the staffs therein. Said defendants are sued in their official capacities.
- 7. Defendants DOES 1-50 are other persons or entities responsible in some manner for the policies, practices, and conditions of which Plaintiffs complain. Plaintiffs are ignorant of the true names and capacities of said DOE defendants, and

therefore sue them by such fictitious names. Plaintiffs will amend this Complaint to allege their true names and capacities as they are ascertained.

4

2

3

5

6

8

Y

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

26

III. CLASS ACTION

Plaintiffs bring this lawsuit as a class action. There 8. is an ascertainable class consisting of all children who are, have been, and will be confined by defendants in the four Orange County juvenile facilities (Juvenile Hall, Los Pinos, Joplin Youth Center, and the Youth Guidance Center). There is also a well-defined community of interest in the questions of law and fact involved affecting the parties to be represented. members of the class are subjected by defendants to the policies, practices, and conditions complained of herein. The common questions of fact include the defendants' past and present policies, practices, and conditions regarding imposition of discipline, confinement of children in isolation, use of the "deescalation rooms" ("rubber rooms"), use of restraints ("soft-tie" or "tie-down" restraints), children's access to counsel, children's contact with family and friends, opportunity to keep personal property, opportunities for exercise and recreation, overcrowding, adequacy of mental health services, and adequacy of grievance procedures. The common questions of law include the legality of the defendants' policies, practices, and conditions in the juvenile facilities under California law and the United States Constitution.

A

R

- 9. Defendants operate and administer four juvenile facilities in Orange County: Juvenile Hall, Los Pinos Forestry Camp, Joplin Youth Center, and the Youth Guidance Center.
- 10. In the juvenile facilities, defendants confine

 (1) children who have been taken into custody for alleged

 violations of the law, and (2) children ordered detained in the

 juvenile facilities by the Juvenile Court.
- 11. Defendants confine children in the four juvenile facilities under color of state law.
- 12. Defendants use tax revenues collected from plaintiff
 HOLLINGSWORTH and others by the County of Orange and the State of
 California to administer, operate, and maintain the four juvenile
 facilities.
- 13. Defendants confine several hundred children each day in the juvenile facilities. For example, more than 300 children are confined each day at the Juvenile Hall.
- 14. Defendants have a manual known as the "Probation Department Procedures Manual" that purports to cover policies, practices, and conditions in the juvenile facilities. Several of the policies and procedures in the manual have been or currently are illegal and unconstitutional on their face. Other policies and procedures, although not illegal and unconstitutional on their face, have been or are illegal and unconstitutional as implemented and applied by the defendants and their employees in the juvenile facilities.

- 15. Defendants' policies, practices, and conditions complained of herein may be grouped into four categories:
- (A) arbitrary and illegal use of discipline and punishment,
- (B) improper restrictions on access to counsel and contact with family and friends, (C) inadequate and unlawful living conditions, and (D) inadequate grievance procedures.

(A) Arbitrary and Illegal Use of Discipline and Punishment

- 16. At the time this lawsuit was filed, defendants did not properly post and explain rules of conduct to children in the juvenile facilities. As a consequence, children in the juvenile facilities did not know the conduct for which they could be disciplined or the types of discipline they could receive. At the present time, as a result of this litigation, the defendants have prepared a detailed written procedure governing major and minor misbehaviors and the consequences of such misbehaviors. However, defendants and their employees still do not properly explain this written procedure to children in the juvenile facilities. Consequently, children in the juvenile facilities still do not know the conduct for which they can be disciplined or the types of discipline they can receive.
- 17. At the time this lawsuit was filed, defendants imposed discipline on children in the juvenile facilities arbitrarily, without due process, and without just cause. At that time, defendants had no written procedure for providing due process during discipline to children in the juvenile facilities. Prior

to imposing discipline on children in the juvenile facilities, defendants failed to provide the children with written notice of the allegations against them, an opportunity to present their version of the events at issue, an impartial factfinder, or an appeal process. Members of the juvenile facility staff personally involved in the children's alleged infractions made the decisions imposing discipline on the children. At the present time, as a result of this litigation, the defendants have prepared a written procedure governing due process during discipline. Despite this written procedure, however, the defendants and their employees still impose discipline arbitrarily on children in the juvenile institutions.

- 18. At the time this lawsuit was filed, pursuant to policies, practices, and written procedures, defendants imposed discipline by depriving children in the juvenile facilities of basic rights, including visits by attorneys and parents, telephone contacts with attorneys, opportunity for daily showers, access to toilets and water fountains, and opportunity to send and receive mail.
- 19. At the present time (as well as at the time this lawsuit was filed), defendants impose corporal punishment, isolation, confinement in the "rubber rooms," and application of "tie-down restraints" as disciplinary techniques. These disciplinary techniques are imposed arbitrarily and are punitive, cruel, and degrading.
 - 20. At the present time (as well as at the time this

lawsuit was filed), defendants impose discipline by arbitrarily confining children in the juvenile facilities in segregated confinement in their rooms for excessive periods, without adequate review of the need for further confinement or of the effect of such confinement on the children.

1

3

A

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

At the time this lawsuit was filed, pursuant to policies, practices, and written procedures, defendants arbitrarily confined children in the Juvenile Hall in the "rubber rooms": small, bare, isolation cells with rubberized walls, no furniture, no toilet or sink, and only a hole in the floor into which children could urinate or defecate. Juvenile Hall staff removed children's clothing before confining them in the rubber rooms. At the present time, as a result of this litigation, defendants have changed some of their policies, practices, and written procedures regarding the rubber rooms. Defendants have placed a mattress in each rubber room, but otherwise the rooms are the same as they were when this lawsuit was filed. At the present time, as a result of this litigation, Juvenile Hall staff do not remove children's clothing before confining them in the In addition, Juvenile Hall staff provide children rubber rooms. in the rubber rooms with toilet paper or access to a regular restroom if they so request, and maintain continuous one-on-one supervision of children while they are in the rubber rooms. Nevertheless, defendants and their employees still confine children in the rubber rooms arbitrarily, unnecessarily, and for excessive periods of time.

At the time this lawsuit was filed, pursuant to policies, practices, and written procedures, defendants subjected children in the Juvenile Hall to "tie-down restraints" ("soft-tie restraints") by confining the children in rooms (different from the "rubber rooms") in which Juvenile Hall staff removed the children's clothing, forced the children onto their stomachs and into a prone and spread-eagle position on beds that were bolted to the concrete floor, then tied the children's wrists and ankles to the sides and corners of the beds. Juvenile Hall staff left the children thus tied to the beds for as long as fourteen hours at a time, and did not release the children to use a toilet but instead required the children to use a bedpan while thus restrained. Juvenile Hall staff did not consult with medical or mental health staff before putting children in tie-down restraints. At the present time, as a result of this litigation, the defendants have made some changes in their policies, practices, and written procedures regarding tie-down restraints. Juvenile Hall staff do not remove children's clothing before putting them in the tie-down restraints. In addition, Juvenile Hall staff sometimes consult with medical or mental health staff before or after putting children in the restraints, although such consultation is irregular and depends upon the availability of medical and mental health staff, the time of day, and the day of the week. Juvenile Hall staff may allow a restrained child to use a regular restroom. Nevertheless, Juvenile Hall staff still restrain children in tie-down restraints arbitrarily,

1

2

3

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

unnecessarily, and for excessive periods of time. Defendants' imposition of such restraints on children has caused and continues to cause the children severe pain and physical injury from lack of circulation in their limbs, dislocation of their joints, and strain on their spines. Defendants' use of tie-down restraints has also caused and continues to cause the children emotional distress, severe anxiety, and psychological injury.

(B) Improper Restrictions on Access to Counsel and Contact with Family and Friends

- 23. Defendants refuse to allow children in the juvenile facilities to meet with attorneys with whom the children wish to consult on legal matters separate from their delinquency proceedings. Pursuant to policies, practices, and written procedures, defendants refuse to allow children in the juvenile facilities to talk or correspond with attorneys on other legal matters unless the attorneys have the permission of the lawyers representing the children in their delinquency proceedings.
- 24. Pursuant to policies, practices, and written procedures, defendants refuse to allow attorneys representing the juvenile plaintiffs in this case to visit with their clients in the juvenile facilities. At the time this lawsuit was filed, defendants refused to allow attorneys representing the juvenile plaintiffs in this case to speak on the telephone with their clients in the juvenile facilities. At the present time, as a result of this litigation, the defendants have installed collect-only telephones in the juvenile facilities that children

may use for calls to attorneys.

- 25. At the time this lawsuit was filed, defendants refused to allow attorneys representing the juvenile plaintiffs in this case to correspond with their clients in the juvenile facilities.
- 26. When defendants have allowed children in the facilities to send attorneys written requests for visits, defendants have required the children to divulge to facility staff the reasons for the requests for legal counsel. Facility staff have discarded some of the requests for counsel without notice to the children.
- 27. At the time this lawsuit was filed, pursuant to policies, practices, and written procedures, defendants and facility staff confiscated attorneys' business cards in the possession of children in the facilities. At the present time, as a result of this litigation, pursuant to policies, practices, and written procedures, defendants allow children in the facilities to have some personal papers in a single 9x12 envelope.
- 28. Defendants and their employees harass and intimidate children in the facilities who seek legal counsel and remedies for the violations of their civil rights.
- 29. Defendants refuse to provide children in the juvenile facilities with adequate access to legal materials such as statutes, court decisions, and other law books. At the time this lawsuit was filed, defendants refused to provide children in the juvenile facilities with any legal materials. At the present

- 30. Defendants allow parties in an adversary relationship with children in the juvenile facilities, and the counsel representing those parties, to interrogate, harass, and intimidate the children. Defendants refuse to give the children's own attorneys notice of the interrogations by adverse parties and their counsel, and refuse to seek permission from the children's attorneys before conducting such interrogations.
- 31. At the time this lawsuit was filed, defendants opened, read, and censored mail from children in the juvenile facilities to other persons and mail from other persons to children in the juvenile facilities. At the present time, as a result of this litigation, pursuant to policies, practices, and written procedures, defendants do not open, read, and censor all mail. However, defendants still read and withhold some mail to and from children in the juvenile facilities. The defendants have failed to develop adequate guidelines for reading and withholding children's mail.
- 32. At the time this lawsuit was filed, defendants refused to permit children to write to government officials, clergy,

embassies, or consulates.

ī

33. Defendants arbitrarily refuse to allow children in the juvenile facilities to have unmonitored telephone calls or visits with members of their families and with friends.

(C) Inadequate and Unlawful Living Conditions

- 34. At the time this lawsuit was filed, defendants arbitrarily refused to allow children in the juvenile facilities to have personal property such as religious materials, personal photographs, books, newspapers, magazines, legal documents, pens, or pencils. At the present time, as a result of this litigation, pursuant to policies, practices, and written procedures, defendants allow children in the juvenile facilities to have newspapers, books, magazines, and possession of photos and personal papers in a single 9x12 envelope.
- 35. Defendants confine children in overcrowded facilities.

 As a result of overcrowding, some children in the juvenile facilities must sleep on mattresses on the floor.
- 36. Defendants fail to provide children in the juvenile facilities with adequate opportunities for exercise and recreation, including outdoor physical exercise.
- 37. Defendants fail to provide children in the juvenile facilities with adequate psychiatric, psychological, or counseling services.
- 38. Defendants fail to provide children in the juvenile facilities with adequate toilets, wash basins, telephones, or

interview rooms.

1.5

38a. Pursuant to policies, practices, and written procedures, defendants and their employees conduct skin searches of children in the juvenile facilities at various times without reasonable suspicion based on specific and articulable facts to believe such children are concealing weapons or contraband and a strip search will result in discovery of the weapon or contraband. Defendants and their employees conduct skin searches without the prior written authorization of the supervising officer on duty. Defendants authorize physical body cavity searches without first obtaining search warrants issued by magistrates. Defendants authorize physical body cavity searches by employees who are not trained and licensed medical personnel.

(D) Inadequate Grievance Procedures

- 39. Defendants fail to provide adequate grievance procedures through which children in the juvenile facilities may lodge grievances against policies, practices, conditions, or staff at the facilities.
- 40. Defendants are utilizing public funds illegally and wastefully in their policies, practices, and conditions complained of herein.
- 41. Defendants' illegal policies, procedures, and conditions in the Orange County juvenile facilities are continuous and ongoing, resulting in continued injury to plaintiffs and continued violation of their civil and

constitutional rights. Plaintiffs have no plain, speedy, or adequate remedy at law. Unless and until the defendants are enjoined from continuing their illegal and wasteful actions, and from returning to their illegal and wasteful actions occurring at the time this lawsuit was filed, children will continue to be confined in the juvenile facilities under the conditions described herein, and plaintiffs will thereby continue to suffer irreparable and substantial harm.

R

V. FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(VIOLATION OF STATE CIVIL AND CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS AND WASTE OF PUBLIC FUNDS -- AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS)

- 42. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate herein the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 41 as if fully set forth.
- 43. Defendants' past and present policies, practices, and conditions in the Orange County juvenile facilities, as described herein, violate plaintiffs' rights under Article I of the Constitution of the State of California, including Section 1 (right to enjoy life, liberty, and safety), Section 2 (freedom of speech), Section 3 (right to petition the government for redress of grievances), and Sections 7 and 15 (right to due process of law). The aforesaid policies, practices, and conditions also violate state statutes and regulations.
- 44. Plaintiff HOLLINGSWORTH further alleges that the confinement of children in facilities that maintain such illegal

policies, practices, and conditions constitutes a waste of public funds.

3

1

2

VI. SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

4

(VIOLATION OF FEDERAL CIVIL AND CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS AND WASTE OF PUBLIC FUNDS -- AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS)

6

7

45. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate herein the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 41 as if fully set forth.

Defendants' past and present policies, practices, and

10

herein, violate plaintiffs' rights under the United States

Constitution, including rights under the First Amendment (freedom

of speech and right to petition the government for redress of

law). The plaintiff children, who are personally subjected to

the policies, practices, and conditions complained of herein,

Plaintiff HOLLINGSWORTH further alleges that the

confinement of children in facilities that maintain such illegal

policies, practices, and conditions constitutes a waste of public

bring a cause of action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 for

violation of their civil and constitutional rights.

conditions in the Orange County juvenile facilities, as described

13 14

12

grievances) and the Fourteenth Amendment (right to due process of

47.

16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23

24

25 ///

funds.

111

26 ///

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, plaintiffs pray that this Court:

- 1. Certify this matter as a class action.
- 2. Declare that the past and present policies, practices, and conditions of defendants complained of herein violate the civil and constitutional rights of plaintiffs under the constitution and laws of the State of California and under the United States Constitution.
- 3. Issue preliminary and permanent injunctions restraining, prohibiting, and enjoining defendants from:
- A. Imposing discipline on children in the Orange County juvenile facilities without adequately explaining to the children the rules of conduct in the facilities, the conduct for which the children can be disciplined, and the types of discipline the children can receive for particular types of conduct.
- B. Imposing discipline on children in the Orange County juvenile facilities arbitrarily and without due process, including written notice of the allegations against the children, an opportunity for the children to present their version of the events at issue, an impartial factfinder (not a member of the juvenile facility staff personally involved in the alleged infraction), and an appeal process.
- C. Imposing discipline by denying children in the juvenile facilities basic rights, including visits by attorneys and parents, telephone contacts with attorneys, opportunity for

- D. Subjecting children in the juvenile facilities to corporal punishment.
- E. Subjecting children in the juvenile facilities to excessive isolation or segregated confinement in their rooms, without adequate periodic review of the need for further confinement or of the effect of such confinement on the children.
- F. Subjecting children in the Juvenile Hall to confinement in the rubber rooms for any purpose.
- G. Subjecting children in the juvenile facilities to tie-down restraints or any other restraints in which the children are bound by their wrists or ankles to beds bolted to the floor or to other stationary objects.
- H. Refusing to allow children in the juvenile facilities to meet with attorneys with whom they wish to meet, talk, correspond, or consult on legal matters separate from their delinquency proceedings unless the attorneys have the permission of the lawyers representing the children in their delinquency proceedings.
- I. Refusing to allow children in the juvenile facilities to have visits, telephone conversations, or correspondence with the attorneys representing plaintiffs in this litigation.
- J. Requiring children in the juvenile facilities to divulge to facility staff the reasons for the children's requests

for legal counsel.

- K. Confiscating attorneys' business cards in the possession of children in the juvenile facilities.
- L. Harassing or intimidating children in the juvenile facilities who seek legal counsel and remedies for violations of their civil rights.
- M. Failing to provide children in the juvenile facilities with adequate access to legal materials such as statutes, court decisions, and other law books.
- N. Allowing parties in an adversary relationship with children in the juvenile facilities, or counsel representing those parties, to interrogate the children without first giving notice to the children's own attorneys and obtaining permission from the children's attorneys to conduct the interrogations.
- O. Opening any correspondence between children in the juvenile facilities and their attorneys.
- P. Opening or reading any correspondence between children in the juvenile facilities and persons outside the facilities, except that (1) envelopes of incoming correspondence may be opened in the presence of a minor to allow facility staff to inspect for contraband, and (2) correspondence may be read if facility staff have clear and convincing evidence that the mail contains escape plans, or other plans to commit a delinquent act, crime, or violation of institutional rules or regulations, or constitutes a delinquent act or crime in or of itself.
 - Q. Refusing to allow children in the juvenile

facilities to make a reasonable number of unmonitored telephone calls to attorneys and family members.

- R. Refusing to allow children in the juvenile facilities to have visits from family members, including foster parents or other guardians, grandparents, and siblings.
- S. Refusing to allow children in the juvenile facilities to have personal property such as religious materials, personal photographs, books, newspapers, magazines, legal documents, pens, or pencils in their rooms, unless facility staff determine that such materials would jeopardize the interests of the minors or threaten the security of the juvenile facilities.
- T. Requiring any child in the juvenile facilities to sleep on a mattress on the floor.
- U. Failing to provide children in the juvenile facilities with adequate opportunities for exercise and recreation, including outdoor physical exercise.
- V. Failing to provide children in the juvenile facilities with adequate psychiatric, psychological, and counseling services.
- W. Failing to provide children in the juvenile facilities with adequate toilets, wash basins, telephones, and interview rooms.
- X. Authorizing and conducting searches of children in the juvenile facilities without reasonable suspicion based on specific and articulable facts to believe such children are concealing weapons or contraband and a strip search will result

25

26

in discovery of the weapon or contraband, authorizing and conducting skin searches without the prior written authorization of the supervising officer on duty, authorizing and conducting physical body cavity searches without first obtaining search warrants issued by magistrates, and authorizing and conducting physical body cavity searches by employees who are not trained and licensed medical personnel.

- Y. Failing to provide children in the juvenile facilities with adequate grievance procedures through which children in the facilities may lodge grievances against policies, practices, conditions, or staff at the facilities.
- 4. Appoint a Special Master to monitor the defendants' compliance with the Court's orders.
 - 5. For reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit.
- 6. For other and further relief such as the Court may deem necessary and proper.

Dated: January 17, 1990

MARK I. SOLER SUSAN L. BURRELL YOUTH LAW CENTER

RICHARD P. HERMAN

JOHN HAGAR
PAUL HOFFMAN
REBECCA JURADO
ACLU FOUNDATION OF
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

CATHY L. JENSEN

MARK I. SOLER

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

PROOF OF SERVICE

1	
2	I am employed in the County of San Francisco, State of
3	California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this
	action. My business address is 1663 Mission Street, 5th Floor,
.1	San Francisco, California 94103.
5 6	On the date indicated below, I served the following:
7	THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF
8	to counsel hereinafter listed by placing a true and correct copy
10	of such document in an envelope and placing such envelope in a
10	United States post office box, postage prepaid:
12	David Epstein
13	Capretz & Kasdan 2091 Business Center Drive
14	Irvine, CA 92715
15	Michael Pursell P.O. Box 538
16	Santa Ana, CA 92702
17	I, Robin Bishop, declare under penalty of perjury that the
18	foregoing is true and correct. Served and executed on this 18th
19	day of January, 1990, at San Francisco, California.
20	
21	adhin Bishop
22	
23	
24	_: ·
25	