Hunt v. Co. of Los Angeles



JI-CA-004-002

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNI,

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

FRANKS. ZOLII., County Clerk

Deno

EY C. PENS, DEPUTY

6||

A. THOMAS HUNT,

(formerly KERBY T. ALVY)

Plaintiff

No. C 547 483

PERMANENT INJUNCTION

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES;
SHERMAN W. BLOCK, in his
official capacity as Sheriff
of Los Angeles County;
PETER SCHABARUM, KENNETH HAHN,
EDMUND EDELMAN, DEANE DANA,
and MICHAEL ANTONOVICH, in
their official capacities as
members of the Los Angeles
County Board of Supervisors;
EDDY TANAKA, in his official
capacity as Director of the
Los Angeles County Department
of Public Social Services; and
Does 1 through 50, inclusive,

Defendants.

Defendants COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES and SHERMAN W. BLOCK, Sheriff of Los Angeles County, are hereby permanently enjoined and prohibited from:

1. Detaining or confining in the booking cages, cells or cellblock areas, or in any other locked room at the Sheriff's Substation at Lennox, California, any minor (person under the age Might luming imported of time a determination by of 18 years) unless and until a judge of the Juvenile Court

 that there are no other proper and adequate facilities for the care and detention of such minorial inflat.

- 2. Detaining or confining any minor in any of the five cells in the west cellblock of the Sheriff's Substation at Lennox, California, while any of said cells are reserved or utilized for the incarceration of adult prisoners;
- 3. Detaining or confining any minor in either of the two cells in the east cellblock of the Sheriff's Substation of Lennox, California, while any of said cells are reserved or utilized for the incarceration of adult prisoners;
- 4. Detaining or confining any minor in any area of the Sheriff's Substation at Lennox, California, under circumstances which would permit communication either orally, in writing, or by signals or signs between such minor and any adult prisoner. The prohibition contained in this paragraph does not prohibit a minor from seeing or hearing an adult while such minor is in the immediate presence and control of a deputy sheriff the prohibit communication between the minor and the abolt prohibits.

This Court shall retain continuing jurisdiction in this action for the purpose of:

- 1. Determining whether and to what extent proposed or revised policies and procedures of the defendants at the Lennox Substation conform with the requirements of Welfare and Institutions Code Sections 207 and 208 and the terms and provisions of this Court's Statement of Decision and Permanent Injunction; and
 - 2. Determining the amount of costs including reasonable

attorneys' fees to be awarded to the prevailing plaintiff, pursuant to formal application therefore and the provisions of Code of Civil Procedure Section 1021.5 and other applicable laws.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: June 10, 1986

NORMAN R. DOWDS

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT