CAROLE SHAUFFER, Bar No. 100226				
MARIA RAMIU, Bar No. 146497				
YOUTH LAW CENTER				
114 Sansome Street, Suite 950				
San Francisco, CA 94104				
(415) 543-3379				

JUN 5 1997

ALAN CARLSON, Clerk LINDA ESPY Deputy Clerk

ALICE BUSSIERE, Bar No. 114680 KATHRYN PALAMOUNTAIN, Bar No. 183246 NATIONAL CENTER FOR YOUTH LAW 114 Sansome Street, Suite 950 San Francisco, CA 94104 (414) 543-3307

TOM WEATHERED, Bar No. 115207 202 Louisburg San Francisco, CA 94112 Telephone (415) 333-9714

Attorneys for Petitioners

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

## FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

17	RENE M., MELISSA R., TANYA J.,	)	Case No. 982014
18	ARTHUR P.	)	
19	Petitioners,	)	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT
20	VS.	)	
21		)	
- 1	ELOISE ANDERSON, Director,	)	
22	California Department of Social	)	
	Services, and CALIFORNIA	Ś	
23	DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL	Ś	
24	SERVICES,	)	
		)	
25	Respondents.	)	

25 26 27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- THIS STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT is entered into on the date set forth below by, between and on behalf of Petitioners and Respondent ("parties")
- 2 Petitioners seek a Writ of Mandate to compel Respondent to perform certain duties specified in the prayer of their Petition which they assert Respondent has failed and continues to fail to perform Respondent asserts that these duties have been performed and will continue to be performed and that there is no basis for the Writ However, the parties are mindful that this litigation requires substantial staff time and is otherwise draining on the parties' resources. The parties are desirous of resolving their differences and avoiding the further expense and uncertainties of trial and, therefore, enter into this Stipulated Settlement
- For and in consideration of this Stipulation and Settlement, and for the sole purpose of effecting a civil compromise of this action, and with respect to this action only, Respondent agrees to perform the following oversight activities set forth in Paragraphs 4 though 9, below. By entering into this Stipulation, Respondent in no way concedes that she has not performed these activities in the past or is not performing them at the present time
- Respondent shall continue with and complete compliance reviews of every county's child welfare services under MPP Chapter 31 regulations, focusing on those regulations that protect the health, safety, and well being of children under the supervision of the county's child welfare agency, including those related to timely child visitation, health and dental care, medical and educational information to care providers (e.g., "Passports"), and Independent Living Plans, in every county in California no later than June 30, 1998 Should Respondent determine that because of unforeseeable circumstances related to the implementation of CWS/CMS it is impossible to complete the reviews in accordance with this time frame and ensure child protection, Respondent shall so notify Petitioners' attorneys of

the circumstances that have arisen and provide a substitute date or procedure for conducting the review. In no event shall these reviews be completed later than January 1, 1999

- Respondent shall continue to require each county to prepare and implement a comprehensive and sufficient Corrective Action Plan (CAP) designed to correct deficiencies noted in these reviews and any subsequent reviews
- Respondent shall continue to monitor and enforce compliance by all Counties with the implementation of CAPs and ensure compliance with mandates of MPP Chapter 31 that protect the health, safety, and well-being of children under the supervision of the county's child welfare agency is achieved and maintained at the earliest practicable date. This applies to current and subsequent reviews
- Respondent shall continue to take necessary enforcement actions against

  Counties failing to take effective corrective action to meet these mandates. This applies to

  current and subsequent reviews. Nothing in this Stipulation shall be construed to limit agency

  discretion with regard to selection of enforcement remedies
- Respondent shall assess patterns of noncompliance by counties to determine whether systematic changes are needed statewide to improve the safety and well being of children
- Commenced in July, 1998, Respondents shall perform compliance reviews, i.e., random samplings or polling of sufficient size (depending on the size of the county's caseload) to generate review findings, of every county in California as frequently as practical to ensure that they meet requirements of state and federal law and regulations that enhance the health, safety and well-being of children under the supervision of the child welfare system including, but not limited to, statutes and regulations governing child visitation, medical care, dental

Independent Living Plans. In no event shall a county be reviewed less than every four years prior to CWS/CMS becoming operational in that county. In no event shall a county be reviewed less than every three years after CWS/CMS becomes operational in that county or January 1, 2000, whichever is earlier. Nothing in this order shall be construed to require future reviews to be performed under the current protocols and methodology, and the method of obtaining data for review may be altered at agency discretion to take advantage of the CWS/CMS computerized information system currently being implemented, provided that the review will continue to measure county compliance with requirements of state and federal law designed to protect the health, safety and well-being of children receiving child welfare services

- For and in consideration of this Stipulation and Settlement, Petitioners agree to dismiss the Petition for Writ of Mandate with prejudice within fifteen days of the execution of this Stipulation.
- It is specifically understood and agreed that this Settlement Agreement is stipulated solely for the purpose of compromising this civil action and the terms shall not be deemed by any party to be an admission of any liability or of any allegations in the Petition In this regard, it is further understood and agreed that this Stipulation shall not bar Respondent from contesting the validity of the matters alleged in the Petition in any subsequent legal or administrative action, and this Stipulation shall not be admissible for any purpose in any subsequent proceeding other than enforcement proceedings should they become necessary in this action

- The parties agree that this Stipulation and Settlement is the product of their mutual negotiation and preparation, and shall not be deemed to have been prepared or drafted by either party. Any court seeking to interpret this Stipulation shall utilize California law and construe it as a product of mutual negotiation and preparation.
- The parties agree further that this document constitutes the sole, entire and complete agreement between the parties to resolve the above-captioned action, that no promise, inducement or agreement not herein expressed has been made, and that the terms of this agreement are contractual and not a mere recital.
- The parties expressly agree that the provisions of California Civil Code section 1542 shall not apply to this agreement, that all parties are waiving all known and unknown claims they may have in this matter, and that each party is forever releasing every other party to this agreement, including any agents, employees, officers, or directors of the parties, once the terms of this agreement have been satisfied
- The persons signing or executing this Stipulation represent that they have full authority to bind the parties to the terms of this Stipulation This Stipulation may be executed in one or more counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, and of which taken together shall constitute one and the same instrument
- Respondents agree to pay Petitioners reasonable attorneys' fees in an amount to be negotiated by the parties Should the parties fail to reach agreement, Petitioners will submit a motion for attorneys' fees to the court

1			RENE M"
2			RENE M
3 4	DATED: 6-4-97		Melissa R"
5			
6	DATED: 6-4-97		Tanga G.
7			
8	DATED: 6-4-97		Arthur P. "ARTHUR P"
9			ARTHURP
10			
11			LAWRENCE B BOLION
12			DEPUTY DIRECTOR CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF
13			SOCIAL SERVICES
14			
15	DATED:	By:	
16		_ ,, .	
17	APPROVED AS 10 FORM:		
18	·		CAROLE SHAUFFER
19			MARIA RAMIU YOUTH LAW CENTER
20			
21			ALICE BUSSIERE KATHRYN PALAMOUNTAIN
22			NATIONAL CENTER FOR YOUTH LAW
23			TOM WEATHERED
24			
25	DATED: 4/17/97	Ву:	Paula X
26	1 1		CAROLE SHAUFFER / Attorneys for Petitioners
27			Accountry's for 1 outloners

	1
9	2
•	3
4	í
į	5
6	)
7	7
8	}
g	)
10	)
11	
12	
12 13 14 15	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
18 19	
<b>4</b> 01	
21	
21 22 23 24 25	-
23	
24	
25	

27

28

DANIEL E. LUNGREN ATTORNEY GENERAL STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DATED: ZI April 1997 By:

ELIZABETH EDWARDS Deputy Attorney General

Attorneys for Respondent

DATED:		5
		"RENE M."
   DATED		
DATED.		"MELISSA R."
DATED:		"TANYA J"
DATED:		((A D COVER TO D 1)
		"ARTHUR P."
		LAWRENCE B. BOLTON DEPUTY DIRECTOR
		CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF
		SOCIAL SERVICES
		1 11
DATED: 4/22/97	By:	
APPROVED AS TO FORM:		
		CAROLE SHAUFFER MARIA RAMIU
		YOUTH LAW CENTER
		ALICE BUSSIERE
		KATHRYN PALAMOUNTAIN NATIONAL CENTER FOR YOUTH LAW
		TOM WEATHERED
DATED: 4 17 97	By:	CAROLE SHAUFFER
1	s.	Attorneys for Petitioners
	DATED:  DATED:  DATED:  DATED:  APPROVED AS TO FORM:	DATED:  DATED: